

MINTURN NORTH PUD WATER SYSTEM ANALYSIS

Prepared for:

Rick Hermes Minturn Crossing, LLC

Prepared by:

Scott Schreiber, P.E., CFM Eric Bodine, P.E. Wright Water Engineers 818 Colorado Ave Glenwood Springs, CO 81601



Wright Water Engineers, Inc.

August 2023 Job No. 191-093.050

Minturn North PUD Water System Analysis

Prepared for:

Rick Hermes Minturn Crossing, LLC

Prepared by:

WRIGHT WATER ENGINEERS, INC.

Scott Schreiber, P.E., CFM Eric Bodine, P.E.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>

1.0	INTRO	INTRODUCTION1			
2.0	PURP	PURPOSE			
3.0	STUD	Y AREA	.2		
4.0	HYDR	AULIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT	.2		
5.0	HYDR	AULIC MODEL ASSUMPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS	.5		
	5.1	Hydraulic Model Performance – Existing Conditions	. 6		
	5.2	Fire Flow Evaluation	. 7		
	5.3	International Fire Code	. 7		
	5.4	Town of Minturn's Town Code	. 8		
	5.5	Town of Minturn Water System Capital Improvement Plan	. 8		
	5.6	Flow velocity analysis	10		
6.0	CONC	LUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS1	3		
7.0	REFE	RENCES1	14		

TABLES

Table 1. Existing Areas – Maximum Daily Demand	.4
Table 2. Proposed Areas – Maximum Daily Demand	.4
Table 3. Hydraulic Model Performance Summary – Existing Conditions	.6
Table 4. Fire Flows at Hydrants, 8-inch Proposed Water Main	.9
Table 5. Fire Flows at Hydrants, 10-inch Proposed Water Main	10
Table 6. Existing Areas – Peak Hour Demand	11
Table 7. Proposed Areas – Peak Hour Demand	12

FIGURES

- 2 Water System Map –Minturn North PUD
- 3 Peak Hour Demand 10" Proposed Main
- 4 Max Day Demand 10" Proposed Main
- 5 Max Day Demand & Fire Flow 10" Proposed Main

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Wright Water Engineers, Inc. (WWE) has prepared this report to discuss the hydraulic model created to evaluate the proposed water system for the Minturn North Planned Unit Development (PUD) (Project) near Minturn, Colorado. The Minturn North PUD is located on the north side of Minturn between Taylor Street and Minturn Road and is planned to provide developable lots available for purchase by private entities. No construction of actual residential or multi-family units will be completed as part of the initial Project. WWE was retained by Rick Hermes of Resort Concepts Co. (Developer) to perform this hydraulic modeling water system analysis (Study).

Information developed in this report is based on information provided by Developer and civil engineer, Boundaries Unlimited (Civil Engineer). This report was developed based on information supplied to WWE as of August 21, 2023.

This report has been updated based on revised Project guidelines and comments provided by the Town and their consultants.

In summary the total number of proposed single family equivalents (SFEs) for the Project have been reduced to 60 SFEs. The Developer currently has 6 SFEs and plans to purchase an additional 54 SFEs.

2.0 PURPOSE

The purpose of this Study is to evaluate the proposed water system to serve the Minturn North PUD. The Study includes a review of portions of the existing water system which will supply the proposed system. A hydraulic model was created to evaluate the performance of both the existing and the proposed water systems during various demand scenarios including fire flow conditions. The scope of work for this Study included modeling the water system at the Minturn North PUD only, and not the entire Town of Minturn water system. A hydraulic model was created using EPANET, a software application developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency's Water Supply and Water Resources Division for modeling water distribution systems.

3.0 STUDY AREA

The Study Area includes the existing 74 units along Taylor Street currently served by the Town of Minturn's water distribution system as well as the proposed 60 units included in the Minturn North PUD. Six of the existing units are part of mobile home park that will be removed as part of this Project. The Minturn North PUD is located on the north side of Minturn between Taylor Street and Minturn Road. The proposed Project will develop 39 total lots requiring water service. Each of the 39 lots will be limited to 2,000 square feet of irrigation per lot. There is a total of 60 proposed SFEs, 59 proposed for residential and 1 for common area irrigation. See Figure 1: Location and Vicinity Map, and Figure 2: Water System Map attached to the end of this report for additional information.

The Study Area is served by an existing pipeline along Taylor Street reported to be 8-inch diameter per the Water System Map published by the Town of Minturn. In an email to WWE on September 16, 2020, John Volk of Water Quality Control Professionals, LLC (WQCP) noted 12-inch water line was observed along Taylor Street during a repair at the intersection of 4th Avenue and Taylor Street. John Volk is the Operator in Responsible Charge (ORC) for the Town of Minturn water system. At this time, the extent of any existing 12-inch water line along Taylor Street is not known. The Town of Minturn did provide assumptions associated with various sections of the lines that might be a 12-inch, but due to uncertainty in this information and to be conservative in the modeling of fire flows, the existing water line in Taylor was assumed to be an 8-inch.

4.0 HYDRAULIC MODEL DEVELOPMENT

The hydraulic model was developed first by laying out the Taylor Street existing water system in the area of the Minturn North PUD and calibrating it based on field data provided by John Volk of WQCP. The existing pipe sizes and pipe alignment used in the hydraulic model are based on the Water System Map published by the Town of Minturn, see Figure 2 attached to this report. The scope of work for this Study included modeling the water system at the Minturn North PUD only, and not the entire Town of Minturn water system. The actual location, elevation, and dimensions of the existing Town of Minturn water storage tank were not incorporated into the model as the model is not intended to include the entire distribution system. Instead, the elevation of the water storage tank and the length of pipe from the water storage tank to the Minturn North PUD were adjusted to calibrate the hydraulic model to the field measured fire hydrant flow and pressure data provided by WQCP.

Four existing fire hydrants at the Project site were tested by WQCP to determine static pressure, residual pressure at a given flow, and maximum flow capacity at a pressure of 20 pounds per square inch (psi). The Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) *Design Criteria for Potable Water Systems* (2017) requires a minimum pressure of 20 psi at all points in the distribution system under all flow conditions to reduce potential contamination intrusion. The test data from the existing hydrants were used to calibrate the model for the Taylor Street existing water system. Following calibration, the model was expanded to include the proposed water system as designed by Civil Engineer to evaluate if fire flow demands could be provided by the proposed water system. Note, the hydraulic model was created using the information available at the time.

The hydraulic model was calibrated to the flow and pressure data available at the existing fire hydrants considering maximum daily demands at the existing units. According to the Town of Minturn Water System Capital Improvement Plan by SGM dated September 30, 2019 (2019 CIP), the maximum daily demand per single family equivalent (SFE) is 570 gallons per day (gpd). For efficiency, the existing 68 units were divided into 6 areas, with each area being a single demand point. The maximum daily demand for each area was calculated by multiplying the number of units in an area by the maximum daily demand per SFE. For the purposes of this evaluation and since more detailed development information is not available, each unit's water demand is assumed to be 1 SFE.

Once the existing water system hydraulic model was calibrated, the proposed water system for the Minturn North PUD was added to the model. The proposed pipe sizes and pipe alignment used in the hydraulic model are based on the design plans by Civil Engineer. Consistent with the existing system model, the proposed water system was divided into areas containing multiple units and assigned a single demand point. The total number of SFEs for the development is 128, which includes both existing and proposed SFEs. The demand for each proposed area was calculated by multiplying the number of SFE by the maximum daily demand per SFE of 570 gpd. See Figure 2: Water System Map attached to the end of this report for the location of each existing and proposed areas. See below for a summary of the demands assigned to each area in the hydraulic model.

Existing Area #	# of SFE	Unit Demand (gpd)	Total Demand (gpd)	Total Demand (gpm)
1	28	570	15,960	11.1
2	6	570	3,420	2.4
3	8	570	4,560	3.2
4	8	570	4,560	3.2
5	8	570	4,560	3.2
6	10	570	5,700	4.0

Table 1. Existing Areas – Maximum Daily Demand

Table 2. Proposed Areas – Maximum Daily Demand

Proposed	# of SEE	Unit Demand	Total Demand	Total Demand
Area #	# 01 SFE	(gpd)	(gpd)	(gpm)
1	6	570	3,420	2.4
2	6	570	3,240	2.4
3	6	570	3,240	2.4
4	6	570	3,240	2.4
5	2	570	1,140	0.8
6	7	570	3,990	2.8
7	8	570	4,560	3.2
8	6	570	3,420	2.4
9	6	570	3,420	2.4
10	6	570	3,420	2.4
Common Area Irrigation	1	570	570	0.4

5.0 HYDRAULIC MODEL ASSUMPTIONS AND EXCLUSIONS

Exact elevations and sizes of existing pipelines are not known and were developed based on best available information. Proposed grading and pipe alignments for the Minturn North PUD were developed on designs by Civil Engineer. The condition of the existing pipes is not known in detail, therefore a C value of 130 for the pipe friction factor was used in the hydraulic model. The water storage tank included in the hydraulic model does not represent the actual elevation, dimensions, or location of the Town of Minturn Water Storage Tank. The parameters for the water storage tank included in the hydraulic model were adjusted to match the existing flow and pressure data reported by WQCP at the existing fire hydrants.

The hydraulic model does not provide any information on water availability or the Town's water rights, the hydraulic model is intended to provide an estimate on flow and pressure conditions only. Below is a list of the assumptions made to develop the hydraulic model.

- Existing fire hydrant elevations are based on the data provided by John Volk of Water Quality Control Professionals LLC.
- Fire hydrants are modeled with 6 feet of 6-inch diameter service line to the hydrant from the main line.
- Existing water piping elevations were set based on topographic survey data provided by Civil Engineer and an assumed pipe bury depth of 7 feet below grade.
- Proposed water piping elevations were set based on the proposed grading plan provided by Civil Engineer and an assumed pipe bury depth of 7 feet below grade.
- Pipe lengths for both the existing system and proposed system were set based on the plans by Civil Engineer.
- Both the "Existing Areas" and the "Proposed Areas" of units had maximum daily demands when analyzing fire flows. Maximum daily demands were calculated based on the maximum daily demand per single family equivalent (SFE) presented in the 2019 CIP.

• The elevations for the maximum daily demands at both "Existing Areas" and "Proposed Areas" were set to be the highest elevation adjacent to the given area based on the survey data provided by Civil Engineer.

5.1 Hydraulic Model Performance – Existing Conditions

Prior to expanding the Taylor Street existing water system model to include the proposed water system, WWE compared the model outputs to the field data available at existing fire hydrants #6, #11, #12, and #13. The field data was collected during fire hydrant testing performed on August 21, 2020 by WQCP. WQCP tested the static pressure at the fire hydrants at zero flow, the residual pressure at a flow of approximately 1,000 gallons per minute (gpm), and the maximum flow at a pressure of 20 psi. Below is a summary of the hydraulic model outputs compared to the field data at existing fire hydrants #6, #11, #12, and #13.

Existing Hydrant #6						
Parameter Field Data Calculated Model Value % Difference						
Static Pressure (psi)	86	88	1.9%			
Residual Pressure (psi)	66	69	4.4%			
Flow (gpm)	811	811	0.0%			
Flow at 20 psi (gpm)	1,545	1,627	5.3%			

 Table 3. Hydraulic Model Performance Summary – Existing Conditions

Existing Hydrant #11					
Parameter	Field Data	Calculated Model Value	% Difference		
Static Pressure (psi)	105	105	0.2%		
Residual Pressure (psi)	89	94	5.9%		
Flow (gpm)	936	936	0.0%		
Flow at 20 psi (gpm)	2,306	2,490	8.0%		

Existing Hydrant #12					
Parameter	Field Data	Calculated Model Value	% Difference		
Static Pressure (psi)	113	112	0.6%		
Residual Pressure (psi)	102	102	0.4%		
Flow (gpm)	1,011	1,011	0.0%		
Flow at 20 psi (gpm)	3,202	3,242	1.2%		

Existing Hydrant #13						
		Calculated				
Parameter	Field Data	Model Value	% Difference			
Static Pressure (psi)	114	114	0.3%			
Residual Pressure (psi)	103	104	0.7%			
Flow (gpm)	1,023	1,023	0.0%			
Flow at 20 psi (gpm)	3,258	3,340	2.5%			

Based on the relatively low deviations between the Taylor Street existing water system hydraulic model and the available fire hydrant test data, WWE proceeded with further developing the model to include the proposed water system.

5.2 Fire Flow Evaluation

To determine the fire flow requirements for the Minturn North PUD, WWE reviewed and relied upon a combination of the existing documentation for fire flow requirements as presented in the Town of Minturn's Town Code (Minturn 2020) and the 2019 CIP. The following sections provide excerpts and relevant information used as the basis for WWE's determination of fire flow requirements for the hydraulic model.

5.3 International Fire Code

The 2018 version of the International Fire Code (IFC) was evaluated to determine fire flow required at fire hydrants based on construction type. It was determined based on International Building Code Building Type V-B, standard residential construction, for homes with a maximum square footage of 7,700 square feet, a minimum of 2,250 gallons per minute for 2 hours of fire

flow must be met. Building Type V-B is the least restrictive building type. Design guidelines will need to be developed to meet these requirements of building type and size.

5.4 Town of Minturn's Town Code

The Town of Minturn's Town Code was used to understand the Town's requirements for fire hydrants:

"1.01 – Fire Protection: The number and location of fire hydrants in a given area must be approved by the Eagle River Fire Protection District...The Applicant shall perform all fire hydrant "flow tests." Results of flow tests shall be provided to the Town and to the Eagle River Fire Protection District." (Minturn 2020).

5.5 Town of Minturn Water System Capital Improvement Plan

The 2019 CIP provides information on the fire flow requirements for new developments on the Town's water system. The 2019 CIP references communication between SGM and Mick Woodworth of the ERFPD:

"For this water system, SGM met with Mick Woodworth of the ERFPD on June 5, 2019. Mr. Woodworth indicated that fire flow needs for Minturn would follow the International Fire Code (IFC) – latest edition; Minturn's code matches the IFC. For fire storage requirements, target fire flows are multiplied by duration, estimated using Appendix B of the 2017 International Fire Code (IFC)."

Table 17 in the 2019 CIP lists the maximum fire flow required for the Town of Minturn at 2,250 gpm for a duration of 2 hours for an entire service area.

The hydraulic model for the combined existing and proposed water systems was run to test fire flow capability throughout the Project Area. Each hydrant in the model was evaluated with a fire flow demand of 2,250 gpm to determine if the minimum pressure requirement of 20 psi was met throughout the system. Maximum daily demands were assigned to each existing and proposed area as listed in Table 1 and Table 2. Each proposed fire hydrant was labeled with a letter to identify it when reviewing outputs from the hydraulic model. See Figure 2: Water System Map attached to the end of this report for the locations of the fire hydrants included in the tables below.

Table 4 is a summary of the hydraulic model outputs with the proposed 8-inch diameter water main and an assumed existing 8-inch diameter water main along Taylor Street.

Fire Hydrant I.D.	Flow (gpm)	Pressure at Hydrant (psi)	Minimum System Pressure (psi)
Existing 6	2,250	15	15
Existing 7	2,250	34	19
Existing 8	2,250	36	28
Existing 9	2,250	45	32
Existing 11	2,250	52	35
Proposed A	2,250	22	18
Proposed B	2,250	26	18
Proposed C	2,250	32	19
Proposed D	2,250	39	22
Proposed E	2,250	37	24
Proposed F	2,250	36	29

Table 4. Fire Flows at Hydrants, 8-inch Proposed Water Main

You will see in Table 4 above that there were multiple conditions where the minimum pressure requirement of 20 psi was not met with a fire flow demand of 2,250 gpm at a given hydrant.

To increase system pressure during fire flow demands, the hydraulic model was modified to increase the proposed water main to be 10-inch diameter. Below is a summary of the hydraulic model outputs with a 10-inch diameter proposed water main and an assumed existing 8-inch diameter water main along Taylor Street.

Fire Hydrant I.D.	Flow (gpm)	Pressure at Hydrant (psi)	Minimum System Pressure (psi)
Existing 6	2,250	24	24
Existing 7	2,250	39	26
Existing 8	2,250	38	31
Existing 9	2,250	45	33
Existing 11	2,250	52	35
Proposed A	2,250	37	26
Proposed B	2,250	36	26
Proposed C	2,250	42	27
Proposed D	2,250	48	28
Proposed E	2,250	46	29
Proposed F	2,250	42	32

 Table 5. Fire Flows at Hydrants, 10-inch Proposed Water Main

The model indicated increasing the size of the proposed water main to 10-inch diameter increases the system pressure available during fire flows. Considering the assumptions used when developing the hydraulic model, WWE cannot say for certain that increasing the proposed water main to 10-inch diameter will allow adequate fire flows due to the small margin between the hydraulic model output pressure (24 psi) and the minimum allowable pressure of 20 psi. Considering the hydraulic model data in Table 5, Existing Fire Hydrant #6 is the limiting factor in providing fire flows. Relying on a nearby hydrant to Existing Fire Hydrant #6 to provide a some of the required 2,250 gpm fire flow will increase the likelihood of the water system meeting the fire flow and pressure requirements.

5.6 Flow velocity analysis

High flow velocities in pipelines impact overall system performance and longevity of infrastructure. Flow velocities in excess of 10 feet per second (fps) increase the potential for damage caused by water hammer, increases the required energy to run the system due to higher head loss, and causes wear and tear on fittings and other appurtenances which increases the

potential for leaks and pipe breaks. The 2019 CIP recommends the following maximum flow velocities:

- Maximum day demand conditions: Velocity < 5 fps (ideal), 7 fps (maximum)
- Peak hour demand conditions: Velocity < 10 fps

The 2019 CIP states peak hour demand is calculated as two times the maximum daily demand. As previously discussed, the maximum daily demand per SFE for the Town of Minturn water system is 570 gpd. The peak hour demand for each area included in the hydraulic model was calculated by multiplying the number of SFE by two times the maximum daily demand per SFE, which results in a value of 1,140 gpd per SFE. Below is a summary of the peak hour demands at each area:

Existing Area #	# of SFE	Demand Per SFE (gpd)	Total Demand (gpd)	Total Demand (gpm)
1	28	1,140	31,920	22.2
2	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
3	8	1,140	9,120	6.3
4	8	1,140	9,120	6.3
5	8	1,140	9,120	6.3
6	10	1,140	11,400	7.9

Table 6. Existing Areas – Peak Hour Demand

Proposed Area #	# of SFE	Demand Per SFE (gpd)	Total Demand (gpd)	Total Demand (gpm)
1	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
2	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
3	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
4	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
5	2	1,140	2,280	1.6
6	7	1,140	7,980	5.5
7	8	1,140	9,120	6.3
8	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
9	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
10	6	1,140	6,840	4.8
Common Area Irrigation	1	1,140	1,140	0.8

Table 7. Proposed Areas – Peak Hour Demand

The hydraulic model which includes a 10-inch proposed water main was run with peak hour demands at each area to evaluate flow velocities. Refer to Figure 3 attached to this report for the hydraulic model output. In summary, flow velocities were all less than the 10 fps recommendation by SGM.

The hydraulic model which includes a 10-inch proposed water main was run with maximum daily demands at each area to evaluate flow velocities. Refer to Figure 4 attached to this report for the hydraulic model output. In summary, flow velocities were all less than the 5 fps recommendation by SGM.

In addition to the maximum flow velocity conditions recommend for the Town of Minturn water system by SGM, many municipalities require flow velocities remain less than 10 fps when fire flows are coupled with maximum daily demands. The hydraulic model was run assuming maximum daily demands at each area and fire flow at Existing Hydrant #6 as it is the limiting hydrant for the system. The hydraulic model was run at these conditions assuming a proposed 10-inch water main. Refer to Figure 5 attached to this report for the hydraulic model output

during these conditions. In summary, the model indicated a flow velocity of 15 fps at a pipe section along the existing Taylor Street water system.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS & RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the hydraulic model analysis described above, a fire hydrant flow demand of 2,250 gpm and a minimum pressure of 20 gpm can be provided with a 10-inch proposed water main, however, fire flow and pressure cannot be provided with an 8-inch proposed water main. Flow velocities exceed 10 fps at the existing system along Taylor Street when fire flow demand is coupled with maximum day demands. The high velocity conditions at the Taylor Street existing system need to be reviewed with the Town.

This report is being developed as part of preliminary submittal for approval by the Town of Minturn. Therefore, information provided is not developed based on final design or as-built conditions. As the project progresses and additional information becomes available, WWE reserves the right to update the findings included in this report.

7.0 REFERENCES

Boundaries Unlimited – Minturn North PUD Preliminary Water System Design Drawing.

- Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE). Design Criteria for Potable Water Systems. December 15, 2017.
- Eagle River Water and Sanitation District (ERWSD). Rules and Regulations for Water and Wastewater Service. March 2019.

International Fire Code (IFC). 2018.

SGM. Town of Minturn Water System Capital Improvement Plan. September 30, 2019.

Town of Minturn. Town of Minturn's Town Code. August 26, 2020.

Town of Minturn Water System Map. Minturn, Colorado. Taylor St / Railroad Area.

Wright Water Engineers, Inc. Consumptive Use Analysis for the Minturn North PUD. January 23, 2020.

G:\WWE\191-092\050 - New Project\Engr\Water System\August 2023 DRAFT\MEMO - EPANET Model\North Mintum PUD Water System Analysis_Aug 23.docx

FIGURES

FIGURE 1

Location and Vicinity Map



Path: G:\WWE\191-092\000\GIS\2_MXD\Location and Vicinity Map.mxd



LOCATION AND VICINITY MAP





NORTH MINTURN PUD

FIGURE 2

Water System Map – Minturn North PUD



FIGURE 3

Peak Hour Demand – 10" Proposed Main

Figure 3: Peak Hour Demand - 10 inch Proposed Main



FIGURE 4

Max Day Demand – 10" Proposed Main

Figure 4: Maximum Daily Day Demand 10 inch Proposed Main



FIGURE 5

Max Day Demand & Fire Flow –

10" Proposed Main

Figure 5: Maximum Daily Day Demand +Fire Flow 10 inch Proposed Main



DENVER

2430 Alcott Street Denver, Colorado 80211 Phone: 303.433.2788 Fax: 303.480.1020

GLENWOOD SPRINGS

818 Colorado Avenue Glenwood Springs, Colorado 81601 Phone: 970.945.7755 Fax: 970.945.9210

DURANGO

1666 N. Main Avenue, Suite D Durango, Colorado 81301 Phone: 970.259.7411 Fax: 970.259.8758

www.wrightwater.com



Wright Water Engineers, Inc.

